UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| 10/554,456 | 02/05/2007 | Wilfried Erb | 12944/003 | 9107 |
| | 7590 06/10/200 IS OFFICE 27879 | EXAMINER | | |
| BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE | | | TORRES VELAZQUEZ, NORCA LIZ | |
| ONE INDIANA SQUARE, SUITE 1600 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204-2033 | | io | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1794 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/10/2008 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentofficeactions@brinkshofer.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | 10/554,456 | ERB ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Norca L. Torres-Velazquez | 1794 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Seconds</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the prac | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 39-72 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 39-72 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 October 2005 is/are: | vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. | to by the Examiner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 020507. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ite | | | |

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 02/05/2007 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. Several of the references cited in the IDS are not provided with an English translation or English abstract.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. Claim 51 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The acronyms PTFE and PI could define several different names. Applicants are advised to write, in this case, the particular compounds they are claiming.
- 3. Claims 39-57 and 65-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is note clear was is meant by the term "half-stuff".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1794

5. Claims 39-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

CHENOWETH et al. (US 4,946,738) in view of HAQUE et al. (US 7,294,218 B2).

CHENOWETH et al. discloses a nonwoven fibrous product that has good strength and insulating characteristics. (Abstract) The nonwoven fibrous product comprises a blend of glass fibers and synthetic fibers. The glass fibers comprise 42% by weight of the final product. The synthetic fibers may be selected from polyesters, nylons, rayons, acrylics, vinyls, aramids and The reference teaches that the optimum portion of synthetic fibers is similar materials. approximately 38% by weight. The reference further teaches using about 4% by weight of the final product of bicomponent fibers. (Refer to Col. 3, lines 1-25; col. 4, lines 51-58; Col. 5, lines The reference further teaches that if desired, a foraminous film or skin may be applied to one or both surfaces of the product. The density of the product may be in the range of from 1 to 50 pounds per cubic foot. [16-800 kg/m^3] (Refer to Col. 3, lines 40-44) The length of the glass fibers and the synthetic fibers is approximately one quarter to one-half inch to four inches. [6-101 mm] (col. 4, lines 62-66) The reference teaches that the glass fibers, the homogeneous synthetic fibers and the bi-component fibers are blended to produce a highly homogeneous mixture of the three fibers. The reference teaches that the blanket will have a uniform, initial thickness of between about 1 and 3 inches [25-75 mm], but that a thinner or thicker blanket may be produced if desired. (Col. 5, lines 60-67)

The Examiner equates the glass fibers to the claimed reinforcing fibers, the synthetic fibers to the claimed first fiber and the bicomponent fibers to the claimed binder.

However, CHENOWETH is silent to the basis weight of the nonwoven material.

HAOUE et al. is also directed to an insulation material. The reference teaches that the fibrous material forming the acoustical composite layer includes polymer based thermoplastic materials and reinforcement fibers such as glass fibers. (Col. 2, lines 61-65) The thermoplastic materials include polyester, polyphenylene sulfide (PPS), polysulfides, nylon, among others. The polymer fibers are from approximately 6-75 mm and may be present in an amount of from 40-80% by weight. (Col. 5, lines 58-67 through Col. 6, lines 1-12) The reference teaches the use of reinforcing fibers such as glass, natural, metal, ceramic, mineral, carbon and graphite fibers. (Col. 6, lines 23-26) The reinforcing fibers having lengths from approximately 10-100 mm. (Col. 6, lines 40-44) The reference further teaches that the thickness of the composite may be controlled by changing the basis weight of the polymer fibers and/or glass content of the composite material. (Refer to Col. 13, lines 1-15) HAQUE et al. further teaches the use of bonding agents such as acrylate polymers, polyvinyl acetate, polyvinyl alcohol, polyester resins, among others. (Refer to Col. 9, lines 62-67 through Col. 10, lines 1-6) With regards to claims 49-51, the reference further teaches that a resin in the form of a flake, granule and/or powder may be added in addition to the polymer fibers. (Col. 6, lines 19-21)

With regards to claim 45, CHENOWETH et al. discloses the claimed invention except that it teaches polyester and nylon fibers instead of the thermoplastic materials claimed, HAQUE shows these thermoplastic materials are equivalent structures known in the art. Therefore, because these thermoplastic materials were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute nylon or polyester fibers for polysulfides or polyphenylene sulfide, for example.

Art Unit: 1794

With regards to the basis weight of the nonwoven mat, it is noted that differences in concentration or temperature will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such concentration or temperature is critical. "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of insulating materials to optimize the basis weight of the mat with the motivation of making the material lighter or heavier in weight according depending on the final product being made. Further, the prior art of record teaches that it would be obvious to optimize properties such as loft/density, thickness and porosity of the material.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Norca L. Torres-Velazquez whose telephone number is 571-272-1484. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00-5:00 pm and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Norca L. Torres-Velazquez/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

June 5, 2008